UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/746,515	12/22/2000	John Baggs	81862P187	1054	
Jeffrey S. Smit	7590 01/12/200 <b>h</b>	7	EXAM	INER	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			ELALLAM	ELALLAM, AHMED	
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire	e Boulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CA 90025-1026		2616		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	01/12/2007	PAP	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			4			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/746,515	BAGGS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	AHMED ELALLAM	2616	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	-			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communical IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	date of this communication, even if timely like	ad, may reduce any				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 De	ecember 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pr	rosecution as to the merits	is .			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
· <u> </u>						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-25 and 27</u> is/are pending in the appl						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12,19-25 and 27 is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 12-18 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
are subject to rectification and/or	oloollon roquiromoni.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1196	a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority aridor do c.c.c. g 1 rott	2) (4) 51 (1).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	•				
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	' '					
application from the International Bureau	•	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receiv	red				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)  Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [					
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •				

Application/Control Number: 09/746,515

Art Unit: 2616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is responsive to responsive to RCE filed on 12/20/2006 Claims 1-25, and 27 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Regarding claim 13-18, the specification as originally filed doesn't give any detail or any example of a computer readable medium having instructions, and the corresponding processing system that executes the instructions for performing the recited steps as indicated in claims 13-18.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter, because the essence of the claimed subject matter is "the computer readable medium having instructions" which is a descriptive material *per se* (non-statutory). Furthermore, the computer readable medium is not specified as being encoded with the instructions. The instructions are neither computer

components nor statutory processes, such instructions do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the "computer readable medium" and the claimed processing system.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed on 12/20/2006, with respect to claims 1-25, and 27 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The prior art rejection of these claims has been withdrawn.

However, after a further review of the computer readable medium of claims 13-18 in light of the specification, Examiner found no support for such medium. Thus a new rejection under 35 USC § 112 is provided.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-12, 19-25, and 27 allowed.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: See form PTO-892..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AHMED ELALLAM whose telephone number is (571) 272-3097. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5:30.

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, To Doris can be reached on (571) 272-7629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AHMED ELALLAM Examiner Art Unit 2616 January 8, 2007

> DORIS H. TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600